UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

In re FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B) Notice of Intent)	
to Suspend Dimethyl Tetrachloroterephthalate)	
(DCPA) Technical Registration)	
AMN/AC Chamical Comparation)	Dealest No. EIED A. HO. 2022, 0002
AMVAC Chemical Corporation;)	Docket No. FIFRA-HQ-2022-0002
Grower-Shipper Association of Central)	
California; Sunheaven Farms, LLC; J&D)	
Produce; Ratto Bros., Inc.; and Huntington)	
Farms,)	
Petitioners.)	

RESPONDENT'S ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY CLARIFICATIONS

Respondent, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Office of Pesticide Programs ("OPP"), pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 164.51 and a December 5, 2022 request from Petitioner AMVAC Chemical Corporation ("AMVAC"), hereby voluntarily provides clarifications to four responses provided in Respondent's December 2, 2022 Responses to AMVAC's First Set of Interrogatories.

AMVAC Interrogatories 14 through 17

INT 14: For any DER concerning a study submitted by AMVAC to fulfil a data requirement at issue in this matter for which OPP indicated that there was "inadequate data received" in the NOITS (at 87 Fed. Reg. 25,262) indicate whether EPA believes that AMVAC is responsible for any portion of the delay between the date the study was submitted and the date of the earliest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer on such DER.

OPP Response 14: Respondent does not believe AMVAC is responsible for the time elapsed between the date the study was submitted and the date of the earliest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer on a DER. Respondent objects to the relevance of AMVAC's involvement with or responsibility for the OPP-internal period between receipt of a study and signature by an OPP reviewer.

INT 15: For any DER concerning a study submitted by AMVAC to fulfil a data requirement at issue in this matter for which OPP indicated that there was "inadequate data received" in the NOITS (at 87 Fed. Reg. 25,262) indicate whether EPA believes that AMVAC is responsible for any portion of the delay between the date of the earliest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer and the date of the latest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer on such DER.

OPP Response 15: Respondent does not believe AMVAC is responsible for the time elapsed between the date of the earliest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer and the date of the latest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer on a DER. Respondent objects to the relevance of AMVAC's involvement with or responsibility for the OPP-internal period between any initial and final signature by OPP reviewers.

INT 16: For any DER concerning a study submitted by AMVAC to fulfil a data requirement at issue in this matter for which OPP indicated that there was "inadequate data received" in the NOITS (at 87 Fed. Reg. 25,262) indicate whether EPA believes that AMVAC is responsible for any portion of the delay between the date of the latest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer and the date the DER was transmitted to AMVAC.

OPP Response 16: Respondent does not believe AMVAC is responsible for the time elapsed

between the date of the latest signature of any primary or secondary reviewer and the date the

DER was transmitted to AMVAC. Respondent objects to the relevance of AMVAC's

involvement with or responsibility for the OPP-internal period between signature by an OPP

reviewer and transmission of a document to AMVAC.

INT 17: To the extent EPA asserts AVMAC was responsible for any delay identified in the

previous three interrogatories, state the basis for that contention.

OPP Response 17: Respondent does not believe AMVAC is responsible for the time elapsed

between the dates described in INTs 14, 15, and 16. Respondent objects to the relevance of

AMVAC's involvement with or responsibility for any OPP-internal time period or action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 6, 2022

Forrest Pittman
Pesticides and Toxic Substances Law Office
Office of General Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Mail Code 2310A

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20460

202-564-9626

Pittman.Forrest@epa.gov

Counsel for Respondent

3

TO:

Hume M. Ross Tracy A. Heinzman Keith A. Matthews WILEY REIN LLP 2050 M ST NW

Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 719-7000

HRoss@wiley.law THeinzman@wiley.law KMatthews@wiley.law

Attorneys for Petitioner AMVAC Chemical Corp.

Cristen S. Rose HAYNES BOONE 800 17th Street NW Washington, DC 20006

Cristen.Rose@haynesboone.com

Attorney for Petitioner "Grower Group" (Grower-Shipper Association of Central California, et al.)

In re FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B) Notice of Intent to Suspend Dimethyl Tetrachloroterephthalate (DCPA) Technical Registration

AMVAC Chemical Corporation; Grower-Shipper Association of Central California; Sunheaven Farms, LLC; J&D Produce; Ratto Bros., Inc.; and Huntington Farms, Petitioners. Docket No. FIFRA-HQ-2022-0002

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Respondent's Additional Discovery Clarifications,

dated December 6, 2022, was sent this day to the following parties in the manner indicated below.

Forrest Pittman Attorney Advisor

Copy by OALJ E-Filing System to:

Mary Angeles, Headquarters Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Administrative Law Judges Ronald Reagan Building, Rm. M1200 1300 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004

Copy by Electronic Mail to:

Hume M. Ross Tracy A. Heinzman Keith A. Matthews WILEY REIN LLP 2050 M ST NW Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 719-7000

HRoss@wiley.law
THeinzman@wiley.law
KMatthews@wiley.law

Attorneys for Petitioner AMVAC Chemical Corp.

Cristen S. Rose
HAYNES BOONE
800 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Cristen.Rose@haynesboone.com
Attorney for Petitioner "Grower Group"
(Grower-Shipper Association of
Central California, et al.)

Dated December 6, 2022